Document 28 Filed 08/02/2000 Page 1 of 4 LIC INC COURT FOR THE MINDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA CITARLES ISELEY, : Civil Action No " PASIL Van MAHARRISBURG, PA W. Conway BUSHEY, et al., Defendants REPLY TO DEFENDANTS' BRIEF IN OMOSITIONE TO MOTION FOR JUNGEMENT/SANCTIONS AGAINST DEFENDANTS Sanctions/judgement should be made against the defendants for retaliating against plaintiff for filing the instant civil action and for deliberately witholding the true identities of defendants Moe and Doe and for Piling intentionally labse declarations with the court.

All of plaintiff's property has yet to be received including ten dollars (\$\$10.00). Plaintiff was tild by defendants leek and Birosak that he would be lucky to get any property because he liked a lawsuit against them. Plaintiff's property bood items, was intentionally destroyed.

As a result of the denial of property plaintiff had a federal and the second of property plaintiff had a federal and the second of property plaintiff had a federal and the second of property plaintiff had a federal and the second of property plaintiff had a federal and the second of property plaintiff had a federal and the second of property plaintiff had a federal and the second of the sec est aution dismissed, was barred from tiling a notions brief and unable to libe an copy of the Third Circuit Court of typeds and ergo the liling see he paid is gone and wasted for nothing Moreover, the continued denial of the remainder of phaintist Jegal property will have a decidedly influential adverse impact on the resolution of plaintist sederal habeas corpus petition

in the eastern district which is set for a hearing on August 22, 2000. All of the downent plaintiff intended to use as evidence exhibits is still being held by the detendants, The defendants' claim of property desial because of alloged loss of a cash slip is utterly furcical. The declaration by defendant Dotter regarding The alleged Mahanay prison policy is deliberately false. The DOC policy (Mahanay prison is a part of the Doc) for prisoner property shipping for transfer is in one of the DOC manuals and is not what the defendants claim. That is why the defendants did not include a copy of their alleged policy or indicate its location - because it does not exist. The defendants phintill's property, despite adainstill's numerous communications to do so, until after plaintill filed a motion with the court, The defendants claimed that after a "reasonable investigation They were unable to determine the True identities of defendants Moe and Due, purch board employees. However, that is utterly unbeliever ble and impossible since porch reports have signatures and the board and Mahanoy prison documents pardle interviewers identities and there are a plethorn of other documents indicating the relevant cle lendants' true identifies

In essence, the defendants did not perform any reasonable investigation and ergo deliberately and blatantly prevariented despite Their leyal obligation not to do so.

The sourt should note that the defendants have still yet to perform a reasonable investigation and provide the true

identities of the relevant defendants.
Plaintiff reas deliberately denied a timely parde review.
fusuant to state statute phintill should have been reviewed in
lebruary of 2000 but, despite his numerous communications to
obtain a timely review, he was not reviewed until live months
after the statitory pinitation provision. This was dine in retalia
after the statitory pimitation provision. This was done in retalia for liling the instant I cyal action. There is no reason other tha
than for the defendants to delibrately villate state law and
plaintill's rights under state law.
Conclision The Market of the Conclision of the C
July Dear House Lada III to Alice Ailli
mition for judgement/sanctions against defendants
The for granery sanctions ugainst contentions
Respectfully submitted,
Vato: 11/4 30, 2000
Charles Iseley Am-9320, Mayley Ar
Charles Tieley
An-9320, Me Jey Dr
Coul wshp, th 17866

Cas	e 1:00-cv-00577-`	YK-DB Docume	nt 28 Filed	08/02/2000	Page 4 of 4	
F		THE UNITED HEMIODLE				
	CHARLES Plaint	ISELEY,	*			
	Plaint	iff,	*	Rivil A	tion No.	
	V,		,	1:00-	CV-005	77
	W. CONWAY Defen	1 BUSHEY, e.	tal,			
		ERTIFIC	4TE 0F.	SERVIC	E	
	I he	rely certify	g that	Leause	to be s	ierved the
	foregoing ,	Refly to Dete	indunts's	Brief in C	prosition	to Motio
	tor Oudge	ment/Sanct	ions Aya,	inst Defe	dunts by	mailing
	copy of &	sely certit. Reyly to Dele ment/Sanct same to:		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

***************************************	////	Rewis, depa	77			
	Office of	Hy ben	and the second of the second o	The state of the s	angan ang situligan gapanin dalangan, an and at anggan hay hillyangan ang situligan ang	and design of an arrange are all designs and designs the later of
	15th Floor,	Hy Ben Stranberr	y 5q.			The Control of the Space of the Control of the Cont
	Harrisburg	y 117 / 110°C		1	independent of the second seco	
4-9900-mais il tasci (- 64.2)	Date: Ju	14 30,200)	Char	le ola	6-
the Party Till the				Charl	es Isele	<u> </u>
		P 転 約 m (差 二)		31		
		## 1 - 19 - 19 - 19 - 19 - 19 - 19 - 19				in Marian Tanggar Tanggar

9 (4) July 1